

HW#6—The multi-dimensional case for periodic composite materials

CHANGJIAN XIE

October 23, 2017

I will present a multi-dimensional problem in periodic composite materials. Let Ω denotes a bounded open set in R^N and $\epsilon > 0$ is a parameter taking its values in a sequence which tends to zero. Let

$$A^\epsilon(x) = (a_{ij}^\epsilon(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}, \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega, \quad (1)$$

be a sequence suffices to

$$A^\epsilon \in M(\alpha, \beta, \Omega), \quad (2)$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (A^\epsilon \lambda, \lambda) &\geq \alpha |\lambda|^2 \\ |A^\epsilon \lambda| &\leq \beta |\lambda|, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in R^N$ and a.r. on Ω , and $A^\epsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A^\epsilon \nabla u^\epsilon) = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ u^\epsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where f is given in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Introduce the operator

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon = -\operatorname{div}(A^\epsilon \nabla) = - \sum_{i, j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}^\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right). \quad (4)$$

Then, we need to solve this system

$$\begin{cases} - \sum_{i, j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}^\epsilon \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial x_j} \right) = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ u^\epsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

As we all know, set

$$Y = [0, \ell_1] \times [0, \ell_2] \times \cdots \times [0, \ell_N],$$

where $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_N$ are given positive numbers. It is called the reference period or cell.

We assume here that a_{ij} is positive function in $L^\infty(0, \ell_1)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} \text{ is } Y\text{-periodic}, \forall i, j = 1, \dots, N \\ 0 < \alpha \leq a_{ij}(x) \leq \beta < +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and both are positive. Note that

$$a_{ij}^\epsilon = a_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N, \forall i, j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (7)$$

and

$$A^\epsilon(x) = A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) = (a_{ij}^\epsilon(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (8)$$

Theorem 1 (*Homogenization Dirichlet problem*) Suppose that the matrix A belongs to $M(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$. Then, for any $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the variational problem

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where

$$a(u, v) = \sum_{i, j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx = \int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \nabla v dx. \quad (10)$$

Moreover,

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}, \quad (11)$$

where $\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

If $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the solution satisfies the estimate

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_\Omega}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (12)$$

where C_Ω is the Poincaré constant.

From Theorem 1, it follows that for any fixed ϵ , there exists a unique solution $u^\epsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} A^\epsilon \nabla u^\epsilon \nabla v dx = \langle f, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)}, \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (13)$$

Moreover, one has

$$\|u^\epsilon\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}. \quad (14)$$

Theorem 2 (Eberlein-Šmuljan) Assume that E is reflexive and let x_n be a bounded sequence in E . Then, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $x \in E$ such that, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x \text{ weakly in } E.$$

Theorem 3 • The space $W^{1,p}(O)$ is a Banach space for the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(O)} = \|u\|_{L^p(O)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(O)}.$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, this norm is equivalent to the following one,

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(O)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^p(O)}^p + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(O)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (15)$$

where we have used the notations

$$\nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right).$$

and

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(O)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(O)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

- The space $W^{1,p}(O)$ is separable for $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and reflexive for $1 < p < +\infty$.
- The space $H^1(O)$ is a Hilbert space for the scalar product

$$(v, w)_{H^1(O)} = (v, w)_{L^2(O)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right)_{L^2(O)}, \quad \forall v, w \in H^1(O). \quad (16)$$

From Thm. 2 and Thm. 3, it follows that there exists a subsequence $\{u^{\epsilon'}\}$ and an element $u^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$u^{\epsilon'} \rightharpoonup u^0 \text{ weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Let me introduce the vector

$$\xi^\epsilon = (\xi_1^\epsilon, \dots, \xi_N^\epsilon) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_{1j}^\epsilon \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial x_j}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^N a_{Nj}^\epsilon \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial x_j} \right) = A^\epsilon \nabla u^\epsilon, \quad (17)$$

which satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \xi^\epsilon \nabla v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (18)$$

From $A^\epsilon \in M(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ and (14), it follows that

$$\|\xi^\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \|f\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}. \quad (19)$$

Again from Thm.2, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{\xi^{\epsilon'}\}$, and an element $\xi^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, such that

$$\xi^{\epsilon'} \rightharpoonup \xi^0 \text{ weakly in } (L^2(\Omega))^N. \quad (20)$$

Hence, we can pass to the limit in 18 written for the subsequence ϵ' , to get

$$\int_{\Omega} \xi^0 \nabla v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (21)$$

i.e.,

$$-\operatorname{div}(\xi^0) = f \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Theorem 4 (*Weak limits of rapidly oscillating periodic functions*) *Let $a \leq p \leq +\infty$ and f be a Y -periodic function in $L^p(Y)$. Set*

$$f_\epsilon(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \text{ a.e. on } R^N. \quad (22)$$

Then, if $p < +\infty$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$f_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathcal{M}_Y(f) = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y f(y) \, dy \text{ weakly in } L^p(\omega),$$

for any bounded open subset ω of R^N .

If $p = +\infty$, one has

$$f_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathcal{M}_Y(f) = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y f(y) \, dy \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(R^N).$$

Observe that from Thm.4, it follows that if $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$A^\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathcal{M}_Y(A) \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(\Omega), \quad (23)$$

where the matrix $(\mathcal{M}_Y(A))_{ij}$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{M}_Y(A))_{ij} = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y a_{ij}(y) \, dy. \quad (24)$$

As we all know, $A^\epsilon \nabla u^\epsilon$ is the product of two weakly convergent sequences. But in general,

$$\xi^0 \neq \mathcal{M}_Y(A) \nabla u^0. \quad (25)$$

Since the coefficients of A^0 are no longer obtained as algebra formulas from A , for the general N -dimensional case, the situation is different from the 1-dimensional case.

In order to study the general N -dimensional case, we need to introduce some auxiliary functions which are solutions of periodic boundary value problem in the reference cell Y . In the sequel, we will state the asymptotic behaviour as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We will take advantage of the two kind of operators, one is $\mathcal{A} = -\text{div}(A\nabla)$, the functions introduced are $\hat{\chi}_\lambda$ and $\hat{\omega}_\lambda$, the other is $\mathcal{A}^* = -\text{div}(A^T\nabla)$, the functions introduced are χ_λ and ω_λ .

Consider the solutions of system

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}(A(y)\nabla\hat{\chi}_\lambda) = -\text{div}(A(y)\lambda) \text{ in } Y \\ \hat{\chi}_\lambda \text{ Y-periodic} \\ \mathcal{M}_Y(\hat{\chi}_\lambda) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

and system

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}(A^T(y)\nabla\chi_\lambda) = -\text{div}(A^T(y)\lambda) \text{ in } Y \\ \chi_\lambda \text{ Y-periodic} \\ \mathcal{M}_Y(\chi_\lambda) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

we can write the variational formulation of the two system and do its extension by periodicity to the whole R^N , then, we take ω_λ to the new problem which solved as previously.

Theorem 5 (convergence) *Let $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and u^ϵ be the solution of (3), then, one has*

$$\begin{cases} i) u^\epsilon \rightharpoonup u^0 \text{ weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ ii) A^\epsilon \nabla u^\epsilon \rightharpoonup A^0 \nabla u^0 \text{ weakly in } (L^2(\Omega))^N, \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where u^0 is the unique solution in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the homogenized system

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{i,j}^0 \frac{\partial u^0}{\partial x_j} \right) = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u^0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

The matrix $A^0 = (a_{ij}^0)_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ is constant, elliptic and given by

$$A^0 \lambda = \mathcal{M}_Y(A\nabla\hat{\omega}_\lambda) \quad \forall \lambda \in R^N, \quad (30)$$

i.e.,

$${}^t A^0 \lambda = \mathcal{M}_Y({}^t A \nabla \omega_\lambda) \quad \forall \lambda \in R^N, \quad (31)$$

Theorem 6 *Let $f \in H^{-1}$ and u^ϵ be the solution of (3). Then, u^ϵ admits the following asymptotic expansion*

$$u^\epsilon = u_0 - \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N \hat{\chi}_k \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) + \epsilon^2 \sum_{k,\ell=1}^N \hat{\theta}^{k\ell} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x_k \partial x_\ell} + \dots$$

where u_0 is solution of (29), $\hat{\chi}_k \in W_{\text{per}}(Y)$ and $\hat{\theta}^{k\ell}$ by

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}(A(y)\nabla\hat{\theta}^{k\ell}) = -a_{k\ell}^0 - \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial(a_{ij}\delta_{ki}\hat{\chi}_\ell)}{\partial y_i} - \sum_{j=1}^N a_{kj} \frac{\partial(\hat{\chi}_\ell - y_\ell)}{\partial y_j} & \text{in } Y, \\ \hat{\theta}^{k\ell} & Y\text{-periodic}, \\ \mathcal{M}_Y(\hat{\theta}^{k\ell}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\partial\Omega$ is of class C^∞ and

$$\hat{\chi}_k, \hat{\theta}^{k\ell} \in W^{1,\infty}(Y), \quad \forall k, \ell = 1, \dots, N$$

then, there exists a constant C independent of ϵ , such that

$$\|u^\epsilon - \left(u_0 - \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N \hat{\chi}_k \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) + \epsilon^2 \sum_{k,\ell=1}^N \hat{\theta}^{k\ell} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x_k \partial x_\ell} \right)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\epsilon^{1/2}.$$